

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE

10/001,676

10/23/2001

Yeong-Taeg Kim

SAM2.0002

3180

7590

09/15/2004

YENKE, BRIAN P

SHERMAN & SHERMAN Seventeenth Floor 2029 Century Park East Los Angeles, CA 90067

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

2614

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eathermost of tea may be available mode to processors of 3 CPR 1.13(6). In coverd, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply specified above is fare. It also a 51 CPR 1.13(6). In coverd, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply specified above is fare. It and histy (30) days, an apply within the adultory minimum of histy (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is fare. It and histy (30) days, a reply will not only only only only only only only only		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified above is less than being died of the communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than being (00 days, a reply within the above claims), and the StX (8) ACMTHS less the remains glade of the communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than being (00) days, a reply within the above claims (8) accordance of the communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than being (00) days, a reply within the above claims (8) and the period of the period of the communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than being (00) days, a reply within the above claims (8) and the period of t		10/001,676	KIM ET AL.		
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elementor of time reply a semidate under the proviousor of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In co overt, however, may a reply be timely filled  ### the petiod for reply septical source is less than shirt (30) steps. a reply within the statisticy milliours of highly days with be considered timely.  ### the petiod for reply septical source is less than shirt (30) steps. a reply within the statisticy milliours of highly days with be considered timely.  ### the petiod for reply septical source, like a reply with period ship and with egits 500 MONTERS (and the mailing date of this communication.)  #### Period for reply septical source, like a reply with period and period with egits and with egits 500 MONTERS (and transling date of this communication.)  ##### Period for reply septical source, like a reply with the statistic cause the application is less and statistics. A reply received by the Office laser than shirt (30) state.  ###### Responsive to communication(s) filled on Response 18 June 2004.  ##################################		Examiner	Art Unit		
A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  and SIX 69 MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the private for poly specified above, the maintains attention of this communication.  If the private for poly specified above, the maintains attention provides of the private of the priv					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edeficion from mys be waited used the processor of 3 CPR 1.13(b)d. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCM*TIS* from the mailing date of this communication of the CPR (6) MCM*TIS* from the mailing date of the communication of the CPR (6) MCM*TIS* from the mailing date of the communication of the CPR (6) MCM*TIS* from the mailing date of the communication of the CPR (6) MCM*TIS* from the mailing date of the communication of the CPR (6) MCM*TIS* from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow to reply veilibre in the stor or defedd period to reply veil, by dutatic, cause the explication to become AAHQCNEO (35 U.S. § 133).  Any may from the store of the CPR 1.70(b):  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on Response 18 June 2004.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3.7.10-15.19 and 22 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-3.7.10-15.19 and 22 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-3.7.10-15.19 and 21 is/are objected to.  3)  Claim(s) 4-6.8.9.16-18.20 and 21 is/are objected to.  3)  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  3)  All b) Some • C)  None of Technique Processor Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) objected to the certified copies of the priority documents have been recei	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Response .18 June 2004.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application, 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3.7,10-15,19 and 22 is/are rejected.  7) ☒ Claim(s) as ubject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Altachment(s)**  1) ☐ Notice of Internal Patent Application (PTO-1	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply teceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 4-6.8 9 16-18 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 4-6.8 9 16-18 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 21 ☐ Information Disclosure's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 22 ☐ Notice of Orafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 23 ☐ Information Disclosure's Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 24 ☐ Information Disclosure's Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 25 ☐ Information Disclosure's Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 26 ☐ Information Disclosure's Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	Status				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 4-6.8.9 16-18.20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 4-6.8.9 16-18.20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) — are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 21 ☐ Information Disclosure's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 23 ☐ Information Disclosure's Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 24 ☐ Information Disclosure's Statement(s) (PTO-1450 or PTO/SB/08) 25 ☐ Other:	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Resp	onse 18 June 2004.			
3	_				
Closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)	· <u>·</u>				
A) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)	Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)	4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
7) Claim(s) 4-6.8.9.16-18.20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:					
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,10-15,19 and 22</u> is/are rejected.				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6,8,9,16-18,20 and 21</u> is/are objected to.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892)	Application Papers				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  6) Other:  6) Other:  8. Patent and Trademark Office					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Interview Summary (PTO-152)					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Reper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6)  Other:					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Control of PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Control of PTO-152)  Control of PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Control of PTO-152)  Control of PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Phaper No(s)/Mail Date	See the attached detailed Office action for a list (	or the certified copies not receive	a.		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Phaper No(s)/Mail Date	Attachment(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) Other:	<u> </u>	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:  S. Patent and Trademark Office	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· —	atent Application (PT	D-152)	
	S. Patent and Trademark Office	V) [_] Ошет			

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The examiner is providing a new Non-Final rejection based upon the previous rejected claim 11. Claim 11 is still being rejected under 35 USC 102, however with additional language supporting the anticipation of the limitation.

The applicant's arguments with respect to the remaining claims, were found unpersuasive as answered by the examiner below in the new grounds of rejection.

The examiner has withdrawn the provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) (with copending 10/003391) since as applicant states both applications were derived from the same inventors assigned to the same entity.

The examiner has also withdrawn the provisional double patenting rejection (with copending 10/003391) since the conflicting claims (1-3, 6-14 and 16-19) have been canceled from the copending application. Applicant's arguments with respect to previously rejected (double patenting) claim 4 was found persuasive and thus the rejection has been withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2614

2a. Claims 1, 2, 10-14 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jiang et al., US 2002/0027610.

In considering claims 1 and 13,

- a) the claimed inputting a video signal...is met by input 101, which are an interlaced video signal (Fig 1).
- b) the claimed computing a frame difference signal...is met by pixel difference unit 107, which takes the luminance value differences of pixels in prescribed fields (Fig 3, page 2, Para 23).
- c) the claimed forming a point-wise motion detection signal...is met by motion detection 109 (Fig 1), which computes the motion metrics, where as shown in Fig 3, can be motion about a point (i.e.  $\Delta c$ ,  $\Delta n$  and  $\Delta s$  (page 2, para 22—27).
- d) the claimed computing a region-wise motion detection signal...is met by motion detection 109 (Fig 1), which computes the motion metrics, about a region utilizing the point detected motion (i.e. Δa (page 2, para 24, and computing a max/min of the region utilizing the computed motion about a point.
- e) the claimed forming from the region-wise motion detection signal a motion decision value...is met by spatial median filter 110 and LUT 11, where the motion metrics computed by motion detector 109 are filtered via spatial median filter 110 and then LUT 111 obtains the weight (blending factor), for frame or field interpolation.

In considering claims 2 and 14,

The claimed low-pass filtering the difference signal prior to the step of forming the pointwise motion detection signal is met by LPF 108 (Fig 1).

Art Unit: 2614

In considering claims 10 and 22,

- a) the claimed spatially interpolating a value of the video signal...is met by frame interpolator 105 (Fig 1)
- b) the claimed temporally interpolating the value of the video signal...is met by field interpolator 106 (Fig 1).
- c) the claimed forming a motion decision value...(refer to rejection of claims 1 and 13 above).
- d) the claimed mixing an output signal...is met by alpha blender 112 (Fig 1) where the blending of the video signal is based upon the motion value determines the blending of the field and/or frame interpolation (page 3, Para 40-44).

In considering claims 11 and 12,

Jiang discloses that based upon the motion metric value which may take on a value between 0 and 8, is used in determining the blending factor (motion decision value) which varies between 0 and 1 (page 3, Para 42) based on the interpolation methods. As shown in Fig 5, when there is little or no motion (motion metric value = 0-4) the field (temporal) interpolation is used, where there is high or maximum motion (motion metric value = 5-8) the frame (spatial) interpolation is used. Thus the motion decision value (i.e. blending factor) is varied between 0 and 1 based upon a motion metric value between 0 and 8.

Art Unit: 2614

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3a. Claims 3 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over, Jiang et al., US 2002/0027610 in view of Taubman, US 6,122,017.

In considering claims 3 and 15,

Regarding the matrix of coefficients for the LPF. Jiang discloses a LPF 108 which is used to smooth the pixel luminance value differences. However, Jiang does not explicitly disclose the LPF being defined by a matrix. Jiang does disclose computing various (Fig 3) pixel luminance value differences in order to determine the motion for each missing pixel and then interpolating the missing lines to create a progressive field (from the interlaced signal).

It is noted by the examiner that a filter, which is defined by a matrix/kernel, is conventional in the art. Since a filter based upon the application, can be weighted (number of taps, coefficients) according to the input (based on position, size, etc) in order to provide a predetermined output.

Based upon applicant's traversal the examiner will incorporate Taubman which discloses the use of a 3 tap horizontal LPF kernel (kernel is synonymous with matrix).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jiang which discloses a LPF in smoothing out the

Art Unit: 2614

differences between various differences on pixels in various locations, to utilize a LPF defined by a matrix to filter the respective differences by a predetermined factor (i.e. selected number of taps/coefficients), to provide a smoothed signal based upon it's relevance to the missing pixel.

3b. Claims 7 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over, Jiang et al., US 2002/0027610 in view of Taubman, US 6,122,017 and Gowda et al., US 6,275,259

In considering claims 7 and 19,

Regarding the use of a LPF to filter the region-wise motion detection signal prior to outputting.

Jiang does disclose the use of a LPF 108 (Fig 1), however the combination of Jiang/Taubman does not explicitly disclose the use of a LPF prior to outputting.

However, the use of a filter (LPF), which is used to filter a signal, whether at the input, output or in-between is a matter of design choice, based upon the size of the system, the type/quality of the signal inputted/output and thus bares no patentable weight.

Based upon applicant's previous response, the examiner will incorporate Gowda (col 3, line 10-21) which discloses adding a LPF prior to outputting a signal is optional, thereby supporting the examiner's previous rejection that the addition of a LPF is not a patentable distinct feature, since it is notoriously well known to include LPF throughout a system.

Art Unit: 2614

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jiang/Taubman which disclose the use of a conventional kernel (matrix) LPF and a smoothing filter on the input to remove any unwanted signals/noise, with Gowda by optionally including a LPF at the output, to also remove any unwanted signals/noise which may have attached to the desired signal.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4-6, 8-9, 16-18 and 20-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (703) 305-9871. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (703)305-4795.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Art Unit: 2614

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance

Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above

numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00

p.m. EST/EDT.

Art Unit: 2614

General information brochures can also be obtained in person from the Patent Search Room located in Crystal Plaza 3, Room 1A03, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA 22202.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS). PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

> BRIAN P. YENKE Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2614

13 September 2004